IBIF	CT: (Optional)			an an in a management of the state of	Production in the state of the	
43 E 43 E	• (whitein					
ROM				EXTENSION	NO.	
	Liaison Division Office of Legislative	Liaison			25 March 1985	
O: Jildir	(Officer designation, room number, and o	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom	
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
١.	D/OP				Attached for your informa-	
2.	DD/OP			1	tion is an article from the 4 March 1985 Federal Times. It lists Members of Congress who Federal employee groups view as supportive of Federal entitle- ments.	
3.	DD/EB&S/OP	1		1		
4.	DD/PA&E/OP		1			
5.	DDA		1			
ó.	D/OLL					
7.	DD/OLL		:	·		
8	C/LEG/OLL	}	;			
9.	AC/LD/OLL		l.			
10.	Chrono					
11.	Subject					
12.	OLL Record					
13.						
14.						
15.	And the second s		1	<del> </del>		

610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

FORM 1-79

# Federal PACs Raise More, Spend More than Ever

By James Crawford

eral Election Commission. their latest reports to the Fedspent more than ever in the committees raised more and 1984 elections, according to Federal political action

cumbents and challengers who sophistication — usually tion strategies showed a new posed a serious threat to boosting embattled liberal inconservatives. voting booth, their contribu-Despite mixed results at the

4 andidates.

O Most of the money went to congressional contenders and contenders and contenders.

Trats.

Walter P nanagers organizations and P ettree groups in 1984 raised A million and spent \$5.4 million nearly twice as much as C n 1982 — on nearly 400 Fifteen PACs sponsored by

races," she added, "particularbacked, 72 percent wound up in the 99th Congress, Park said Of the candidates NARFE

ing to PAC director Betsy Reid. contests and candidates up-to-date information on tight strategy, including support for needs, she said. It relied on the AFL-CIO for AFGE followed a similar

volvement such as get-out-thetions, the union also featured grast-roots" membership in-Unlike most PAC opera-

expenditure. three times its 1981-82 Carriers, whose \$1,600,890 was National Association of Letter

Employees Union. and the National Treasury ers Union was third in fund Letter Carriers Association by AFGE, the National Rural raising and spending, followed The American Postal Work-

about double their 1981-82 spent nearly \$5 million \_ Together these top six PACs

odds than incumbents. who normally face tougher increased aid to challengers, NARFE legislative director Judy Park, despite the group's "We feel we did well," said

active on our behalf." challenged and who had been bent members being severely y where there were incum-"We tried to target close

vote drives, Reid said.

Ferraro selecte paigns contrib spend small s purpo Fund provide AFGE's Legislative Action

lures wa union's Reid

seats, an Republicans picked up 14 ocrats so ers in th th pasma The m riouse, where

\$53,950 and \$53,450. were unsuccessful challengers James Hunt, D-N.C., with Lloyd Doggett, D-Texas, and respectively. Not far behind received \$64,030 and \$60,150 publican incumbents — who bers who defeated Senate Remon, D-III. — two House mem-Harkin, D-Iowa, and Paul Simajor beneficiaries were Tom Among the candidates, the

NAATS .....

Mail Handlers NAGE .....

23,919

19,130

16,359

21,817

8455

FMA:

.......

Alliance .....

37,523

24,442

20,212 29,111

Z

Z >

13,792

27,128

Postal **Supervisors** 

105,364

109,624

69,539

68,782

50,878 65,641

49,415

70,376 6156

William Ford, D-Mich., with well as usual, led by chairman Civil Service Committee did crats received \$15,000 or more Leaders of the Post Office and More than 40 House Demo

cumbents facing tough chalstantial funds to 15 liberal inmajor PACs channeled sub-But late in the campaign, the

PAC Performance

Page 3

Postmasters	nd in the House where
League of	cored a net gain of two
CUCAN	ne Senate, where Dem-
NASC.	neir giving on cliffhang.
xural Carriers	najor federal PACs fo-
AFGE	as not available.
_	total indirect con the
NALC	oeiion.
NARFE	as well as the Mondale-
	congressional cam-
	utions, which targeted
	ing on these indirect
	hare of the union's total
redera	se. But that was only a
	provided \$50,000 for this

\$1,651,795 \$1,306,977

\$797,355

1,341,498

1,600,890

933,802

717,408

448,741

445,003 482,555

313,057

315,330

232,271

241,738

446,229 549,166 769,960

422,277 581,418

325, 128 518,358

211,337

498,396

179,519

135,578

126,352

110,280

14,790

67,377

139,792

TOTALS \$5,667,491 \$5,402,815 \$3,555,936 \$2,871,354  *Organized in January 1983.  Source: Federal Election Commission Federal Times/Span Ford  Of these, eight were re-elect. Ariz. Joseph Minish Total	Of these, ei	Source	
\$5,402,815 \$3,555,936 \$2,871,3;  Federal Times/Soan Fa	se, eight were re-elect-	s: Federal Election Commission	Named in January 1983.
\$3,555,936 \$2,871,32 Federal Times/Soon For	Ariz. J		\$5,402,815
	Osenh Minish D	federal Times/Sean Fo	\$3,555,936 <b>\$</b> 2,871,3

Morrison, D-Conn., and Jim Okla., Peter Kostmayer, D-Pa., Edgar, D-Pa., Jim Jones, Ded by margins of five percent or less: Frederick Boucher, D Robert Mrazek, D-N.Y., Bruce Va., Robert Carr, D-Mich., Bob

Albosta, D-Mich., Robin Britt, D-N.C., James McNulty, D-Six were defeated: Donald

> William Ratchford, D-Conn. Jerry Patterson, D-Calif., and oseph Minish, D-N.J.,

decided by the House Adminmains in recount and will be publican Richard McIntyre re-Closkey, D.Ind., against Reistration Committee. And the race of Frank Mc-

See PACs, Page 17

Conservative Democrats

Sanitized Copy Approved for

## from Page 3

5:vitas, D-Ga., and Sen. Walter O iddleston, D-Ky., were upset 4 lp from the federal groups. 6:teran representatives Clar-Oce Long, D-Md., and Elliott Owites D-Ga and Son Welter who were defeated in Texas expectedly and thus red North Carolina got little ived relatively modest

1 C contributions. Several liberal challengers o received generous federa

ells-Schooley, D-Pa. But only orge Hansen. on, defeating conservative chard Stallings, D-Idaho d Saslaw, D-Va., and Jane Robert Clark, D-Miss., Richn House races, these includ

4AC contributions, Barton Ordon, D-Tenn., easily won one open seat of Albarra Qua Administration employee no was elected to the Senate i the son of a retired Veter-

e id AFGE member, Barton reserved the union's enthusiasele; backing, Reid said.
le In the Senate, substantial Rilp was available to former foep. Norman D'Amours, Death, Minnesota secretary of the Joan Growe and Misroppi governor William Was an itized.

West Virginia governor Jay ter, but all lost badly. And Rockefeller squeaked by Republican. against an underfinanced

slightly. NARFE and managmore to Republicans. ers' groups tended to give among federal PACs, but only Nevertheless, only Senate Giving patterns varied

chairman Ted Stevens, R-Alascivil service subcommittee ka, took in a respectable sum

often defended federal employees on bread-and-butter Virginia Republican who has issues, received only \$1350. Rep. Frank Wolf, a northern

ed to Wolf, contributed \$15,600 by a 2-1 margin. John Flannery. Wolf still won to his Democratic challenger, including some who contribut-Meanwhile federal groups,

ceived "token amounts" from vative Republicans often rethe incumbent," Park said. NARFE "to send a message to Democrats opposing conser-

O'Neill, majority leader Jim to influential House Demoations Committee chairman Wright and Government Opercrats like Speaker Thomas Jack Brooks. Similar nominal sums went

cycle, most federal PACs en-As compared to the 1981-82

joyed substantial progress in both fund raising and spend-

up from \$14,790. the greatest gain — to \$139,792 eague of Postmasters showed In 1983-84, the National

to \$37,523 nearly tripled its fund raising, Postal and Federal Employees The National Alliance of

tion of Government Employees sors and the National Associaposted modest growth. Association of Postal Supervial Employees. The Nationa the National Association of National Federation of Feder-Postmasters of the U.S. and the Other healthy gainers were

\$12,469 saw a decline, to \$7233 from tion of Air Traffic Specialists Only the National Associa-

sored by the Federal Manag-\$11,051, but spent just \$2135. ers Association. It raised A new PAC was born, spon-

out of business in January 1984 \$75,000. spending \$14,650. In 1981-82 after raising nothing and Controllers Organization, went PATCO raised and spent about funct Professional Air Traffic Another PAC, run by the de-

raised \$8445, compared to But it spent just \$402. nothing in the last election The Mail Handlers Union

## Selected Recipients of Federal PAC Funds

D-Ari	m Ford, D-Mich	Senate         Tom Harkin, D-lowa
*Contributions to Ferroro's House compagn before she was nominated for vice president.  *Recount in progress.  Source: Federal Election Commission	Gerry Ackerman, D-N.Y. 16,200 Thornas Downey, D-N.Y. 15,850 Les Aspin, D-Wis. 15,650 Katte Hall, * D-Ind. 15,650 John Flannery, * D-Va. 15,600 Michael Barmes, D-Md. 13,000 Mickey Leland, D-Texas 11,800 Patricia Schwaeder, D-Colo. 11,750 Merrym Dymally, D-Calif. 11,250 Frank Welf, R-Va. 1350 By Parry Democrats \$3,903,990 Republicans \$3,903,990	P. Calif.